

Original Article

Cite this article: Taylor CT, Campbell-Sills L, Kessler RC, Sun X, Nock MK, Ursano RJ, Jain S, Stein MB (2022). Social network size and personality traits independently and prospectively predict distress disorders and suicidal behavior in U.S. Army soldiers. *Psychological Medicine* 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722002082>

Received: 13 April 2021
Revised: 14 June 2022
Accepted: 17 June 2022


Key words:

Internalizing disorders; military; neuroticism; personality; social networks; suicide

Author for correspondence:

Charles T. Taylor,
E-mail: ct1taylor@health.ucsd.edu

Social network size and personality traits independently and prospectively predict distress disorders and suicidal behavior in U.S. Army soldiers

Charles T. Taylor¹ , Laura Campbell-Sills¹, Ronald C. Kessler², Xiaoying Sun³, Matthew K. Nock⁴, Robert J. Ursano⁵, Sonia Jain³ and Murray B. Stein^{1,3,6}

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA; ²Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ³Herbert Wertheim School of Public Health and Human Longevity Science, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA; ⁴Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁵Center for the Study of Traumatic Stress, Department of Psychiatry, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA and ⁶VA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA

Abstract

Background. Personality traits (e.g. neuroticism) and the social environment predict risk for internalizing disorders and suicidal behavior. Studying these characteristics together and prospectively within a population confronted with high stressor exposure (e.g. U.S. Army soldiers) has not been done, yet could uncover unique and interactive predictive effects that may inform prevention and early intervention efforts.

Methods. Five broad personality traits and social network size were assessed via self-administered questionnaires among experienced soldiers preparing for deployment ($N = 4645$) and new soldiers reporting for basic training ($N = 6216$). Predictive models examined associations of baseline personality and social network variables with recent distress disorders or suicidal behaviors assessed 3- and 9-months post-deployment and approximately 5 years following enlistment.

Results. Among the personality traits, elevated neuroticism was consistently associated with increased mental health risk following deployment. Small social networks were also associated with increased mental health risk following deployment, beyond the variance accounted for by personality. Limited support was found for social network size moderating the association between personality and mental health outcomes. Small social networks also predicted distress disorders and suicidal behavior 5 years following enlistment, whereas unique effects of personality traits on these more distal outcomes were rare.

Conclusions. Heightened neuroticism and small social networks predict a greater risk for negative mental health sequelae, especially following deployment. Social ties may mitigate adverse impacts of personality traits on psychopathology in some contexts. Early identification and targeted intervention for these distinct, modifiable factors may decrease the risk of distress disorders and suicidal behavior.

Introduction

Vulnerability to internalizing disorders (including anxiety, stress-related, and depressive disorders) and suicidal behavior is attributable to dispositional characteristics of the individual as well as features of the environment that influence exposure and reactivity to stressors – the central tenet of diathesis-stress models of psychopathology. Personality traits represent heritable, biologically based, and moderately stable (Smith et al., 2016; Wray, Birley, Sullivan, Visscher, & Martin, 2007) patterns of thought, behavior, and emotions that shape how individuals respond to their environment. Neuroticism is a personality trait characterized by the tendency to experience frequent and intense negative emotions in response to perceived stressors. It is one of the most extensively studied and validated dispositional factors that increases the risk for internalizing disorders and suicidal behavior (Barlow, Sauer-Zavala, Carl, Bullis, & Ellard, 2014; Kotov, Gamez, Schmidt, & Watson, 2010) and is increasingly positioned as a key treatment target (Sauer-Zavala et al., 2021).

Central to diathesis-stress models is the notion that aspects of the environment can potentiate or mitigate dispositional influences on psychopathology (e.g. Fox & Beavers, 2016). The social environment in particular has been shown to influence the risk for internalizing disorders (Saris, Aghajani, van der Werff, van der Wee, & Penninx, 2017) and suicidality (Holma et al., 2010), likely because social connections represent a fundamental human need that provide a sense of support and security (Baumeister & Leary, 1995). Perceptions of one's social

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



environment may therefore modify the influence of dispositional factors such as neuroticism on the development of internalizing disorders and/or suicidal behavior. Personality and social factors, however, have largely been studied in separate lines of research conducted in community samples in which stressor exposure is unknown. It therefore remains poorly understood whether personality and the social environment operate independently, share substantial variance, or interact in conferring risk for subsequent internalizing disorders or suicidal behavior in a population with elevated stressor exposure. Our goal was to investigate these possibilities using data from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS; Kessler *et al.*, 2013a; Ursano *et al.*, 2014).

The link between personality and psychopathology is often studied within the five-factor (Big Five) model of personality (McCrae & Costa, 2003). Neuroticism prospectively predicts major depression (Fanous, Neale, Aggen, & Kendler, 2007; Kendler, Gatz, Gardner, & Pedersen, 2006; Kendler, Kuhn, & Prescott, 2004), anxiety disorders (Zinbarg *et al.*, 2016), and suicide (Fergusson, Woodward, & Horwood, 2000; Yen *et al.*, 2009) in civilian samples. In military personnel, cross-sectional relationships were observed between higher neuroticism and posttraumatic stress and depressive symptoms measured post-deployment (Caska & Renshaw, 2013; James, Van Kampen, Miller, & Engdahl, 2013). Prospective studies are needed, however, because the neuroticism-psychopathology link attenuates considerably when accounting for baseline mental health symptoms (Jeronimus, Kotov, Riese, & Ormel, 2016).

Low conscientiousness and low extraversion are also associated with internalizing disorders (Kotov *et al.*, 2010), whereas agreeableness and openness to experience are not (Kotov *et al.*, 2010; Watson & Naragon-Gainey, 2014). Nevertheless, consideration of shared variance among personality dimensions is important because the Big Five traits are not completely orthogonal (Digman, 1997; Markon, Krueger, & Watson, 2005) and several traits may be regulated by common neurobiological systems (Wright, Creswell, Flory, Muldoon, & Manuck, 2019). Identifying unique prospective associations among the Big Five personality dimensions with internalizing disorders or suicidality could point to a specific trait or limited set of traits that offer the greatest explanatory power and may therefore be most profitable to target in universal screening and prevention efforts.

The social environment also influences vulnerability to psychopathology (Cacioppo & Cacioppo, 2018; Umberson & Montez, 2010), in part through regulating positive outcomes (e.g. engagement in pleasurable or meaningful activities) and negative outcomes (e.g. perceived availability of support during times of stress; see Berkman, Glass, Brissette, and Seeman, 2000). Prospective studies demonstrate that poor quality relationships (Teo, Choi, & Valenstein, 2013) and perceived social disability (Saris *et al.*, 2017) increase the future risk of new onset and sustained episodes of anxiety and depression, as well as suicide attempts (Holma *et al.*, 2010). Cross-sectional studies in military personnel demonstrate associations between perceived social network strength and a range of negative mental health sequelae post-deployment (James *et al.*, 2013; Mitchell, Gallaway, Millikan, & Bell, 2012; Pietrzak *et al.*, 2009), even when accounting for deployment stressors (Welsh, Olson, Perkins, Travis, & Ormsby, 2015). Prospective studies, however, are lacking.

Investigating the joint effects of personality and social network characteristics on internalizing disorder or suicidal behavior outcomes has not been done but could advance understanding of risk

in several ways. First, if these risk factors share considerable variance, and one is found to largely explain the effect of the other, prevention efforts could focus on detecting and modifying the factor with greater explanatory power. Second, interaction models could reveal that the effects of one risk factor are potentiated or attenuated by the other factor. Because neuroticism is hypothesized to increase the risk for internalizing disorders and suicidality through inflated reactivity to stressors, having a strong social network may buffer the effects of stressor exposure through the provision of social support, encouraging cognitive reappraisal, engagement in positive affect-generating activities, and/or down-regulation of stress-related biological systems (e.g. Berkman *et al.*, 2000; Fredrickson, 2001, 2003; Hostinar, Sullivan, and Gunnar, 2014). Neuroticism may therefore only predict internalizing disorders or suicidal behaviors in the context of an impoverished social network. This type of knowledge would increase precision in identifying vulnerable individuals. Finally, prospective studies examining personality traits and social networks in military personnel are absent. Identifying modifiable risk factors in service members could offer actionable insights to inform prevention and early intervention efforts in the military, as well as other populations (e.g. first responders) who routinely encounter highly stressful situations.

The present study examined the main and interactive effects of personality traits and social network size on prospective mental health outcomes in two cohorts from Army STARRS (Kessler *et al.*, 2013a; Ursano *et al.*, 2014). The primary analysis was conducted using data from more than 4500 soldiers who completed surveys assessing internalizing disorders and suicidal behaviors shortly before deployment to Afghanistan and 3 and 9 months following their return from deployment. We focused on this cohort because all soldiers experienced known stressor exposure (deployment) and mental health outcomes were measured at discrete periods before and after that exposure. A supplementary analysis was conducted to examine whether effects observed in the primary analysis would extend over a longer period (5 years) and within a sample facing a variety of possible adjustment challenges, i.e., new recruits. The supplementary analysis was based on data from over 6000 soldiers who completed self-assessments of personality, social networks, and lifetime psychopathology prior to basic training and subsequently completed surveys evaluating internalizing disorders and suicidal behavior obtained on average 5 years later.

In defining the mental health outcomes of interest, we focused on the distress disorders subfactor of internalizing psychopathology [major depression, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)] because these conditions are highly prevalent in service members (Kessler *et al.*, 2014) and have been shown to share a common underlying structure (de Jonge *et al.*, 2018). Suicidal behavior was also examined (as a separate outcome) because it is common among service members (Ursano *et al.*, 2015) and is a high-priority area for the U.S. Army (Ursano *et al.*, 2014). We hypothesized that among the personality variables, elevated neuroticism would show the most robust associations with distress disorders and suicidal behaviors. We also hypothesized that larger social networks would protect against distress disorders and suicidal behaviors. Given suggestions that only a few social connections may be enough to garner positive and mitigate negative health outcomes (Baumeister & Leary, 1995), or that too many social connections could be detrimental to mental health (e.g. through increasing demands on emotional and physical resources; Falci and

McNeely, 2009), we also explored non-linear associations between social network size and mental health (see online Supplemental Materials). Finally, we hypothesized that personality (neuroticism in particular) and social network variables would interact such that the association between neuroticism and subsequent mental health outcomes would be attenuated in soldiers with the largest social networks.

Methods

Participants

Data were obtained from two Army STARRS cohorts. For detailed descriptions of the study design and procedures see online Supplemental Materials and (Heeringa et al., 2013; Kessler et al., 2013a; Ursano et al., 2014).

Pre/Post Deployment Study (PPDS)

Regular Army soldiers from three Brigade Combat Teams (BCTs) were recruited for a longitudinal panel survey before deploying to Afghanistan in 2012. Baseline evaluation occurred 1–2 months before deployment (T0). Follow-ups occurred within 1 month of return to the U.S. (T1), 3 months later (T2), and 9 months later (T3). The current models were tested within soldiers who completed surveys at all waves ($n = 4645$; 60.0% of the eligible sample of deployed soldiers). Response propensity and post-stratification weighting factors were developed and applied in PPDS analyses (Heeringa, West, & Berglund, 2010; see online Supplemental Materials). Sample demographic characteristics are presented in the online Supplemental Methods.

New Solider Study (NSS)

See online Supplemental Materials.

Measures

Personality

The PPDS and NSS baseline surveys contained items adapted from previously validated self-report personality inventories (see Rosellini et al., 2017). We focus on the dimensions of neuroticism (7 items; PPDS T0 $\alpha = 0.82$), agreeableness (3 items; PPDS T0 $\alpha = 0.54$), openness to experience (4 items; PPDS T0 $\alpha = 0.37$), extraversion (3 items; PPDS T0 $\alpha = 0.68$), and low conscientiousness (2 items; PPDS T0 $\alpha = 0.54$). See online Supplemental Materials for sample items, instructions, and scale descriptives.

Social networks

The social network scale comprised 4 items that assessed the size of different aspects of Soldiers' affiliative networks. Items were prefaced with, 'How many people do you have in your personal life of the following sorts?' and were rated on a 10-point scale referencing 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6–10, 11–20, 21–30, or 31 or more people. Ratings were coded 0–9. Items referenced: 'people you do things with, like watch TV together, go out for a drink or movie together, or play cards'; 'people who you feel really close to'; 'people who really care for you and would be there if you needed them'; and 'family or friends who need you and rely on you for help when they need it.' Exploratory factor analysis suggested a unidimensional structure (item-factor loadings: PPDS = 0.66–0.89). Items were summed to create a total social network score with higher scores reflecting larger social networks (range = 0–36; PPDS T0 $\alpha = 0.85$).

Mental disorders and suicidal behaviors

Outcomes in the PPDS sample were composite indices reflecting presence *v.* absence of (1) any distress disorder [i.e. any diagnosis of PTSD, major depressive episode (MDE), or GAD] and (2) suicidal behavior (i.e. any suicide ideation, plan, or attempt) in the past 30 days. See online Supplemental Materials for a description of the survey assessment of mental disorders and suicidality.

Deployment stress

Soldiers in the PPDS cohort completed a Deployment Stress Scale (DSS; see Campbell-Sills et al., 2018) at T1 which was used to adjust for the severity of deployment-related stress in the models of post-deployment mental health outcomes. The DSS assessed exposure to potentially traumatic combat/deployment-related events (e.g. firing at the enemy/taking enemy fire, seeing severely wounded or dying people; theoretical range = 0–16). We therefore use the term deployment stress to reflect exposure to the assessed stressors encountered during deployment.

Sociodemographic and Army service variables

Sex, age, race/ethnicity (Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, or Other), marital status (currently married *v.* not), education (general equivalency, high school, or college/postgraduate degree), and Brigade Combat Team were included in PPDS models.

Data analysis

We first examined separate prediction models, one for the five personality variables and two for the social network variable (one linear; one exploratory non-linear presented in the online Supplemental Materials), each adjusting for sociodemographic and service variables (described above), and lifetime history of the distress disorders or suicidal behaviors composite at baseline. Personality variables were standardized and treated as continuous; those significantly associated ($p < 0.05$) with distress disorders or suicidal behaviors were subsequently evaluated alongside the social network term to examine independent associations with the outcome. Finally, we added a neuroticism by social networks interaction term to test whether the hypothesized association between neuroticism and distress disorders or suicidal behaviors was moderated by social network size. We also explored personality by social network interaction terms for any other traits that were significant in the personality model. The base model predicting distress disorders or suicidal behaviors with main effects only was retained in cases wherein the personality by social network interaction term was not significant.

In the PPDS sample, survey-weights adjusted logistic regression models were used to evaluate the associations of pre-deployment (T0) personality and social network variables (and their interaction) with past 30-day distress disorders and suicidal behaviors at 3-months (T2) and 9-months (T3) after returning from deployment. Models adjusted for sociodemographic and service variables (T0), deployment stress (T1), and lifetime history of the relevant composite assessed at T0. See online Supplementary Material for a description of the NSS data analyses.

NSS and PPDS data are clustered and weighted; thus, the design-based Taylor series linearization method was used to estimate standard errors. Multivariable significance was examined using design-based Wald χ^2 tests. Two-tailed $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. Effect sizes are described according to the proportion of mental health risk accounted for by every standard deviation change in the focal predictor (i.e. personality or social

network variable). Because predictors of interest were standardized, the adjusted odds ratios can be directly compared to determine the relative strength of effects. All analyses were conducted using R Version 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2021) with the following R libraries (survey, splines, gam, effects). The analyses were not pre-registered.

Results

Descriptive Analysis in PPDS sample

Personality traits were modestly related to social network scores in the PPDS sample ($r = -0.20$ to 0.24). See online Supplemental Table S1a for descriptive summaries, frequency distributions, and correlations among the personality and social network variables.

Prospective analysis in PPDS sample

Distress disorders

The proportion of PPDS respondents meeting the criteria for the past 30-day distress disorders composite was 11.9% at T2 and 14.6% at T3. Adjusting for sociodemographic and service variables, deployment stress, and lifetime pre-deployment distress disorders, higher pre-deployment neuroticism and low conscientiousness independently (when considered together in the personality variable model) predicted the past 30-day distress disorders composite at both 3-months (T2) and 9-months (T3) after

returning from deployment [Neuroticism: 3-months, AOR = 1.40; 95% CI 1.23–1.60; $\chi^2(1) = 25.44$, $p < 0.0005$; 9-months, AOR = 1.19; 95% CI 1.05–1.35; $\chi^2(1) = 6.99$, $p = 0.008$; Low Conscientiousness: 3-months, AOR = 1.13; 95% CI 1.02–1.26; $\chi^2(1) = 5.07$, $p = 0.024$; 9-months, AOR = 1.11; 95% CI 1.01–1.21; $\chi^2(1) = 4.57$, $p = 0.033$]. See online Supplemental Table S4a. Pre-deployment social network size significantly predicted the past 30-day distress disorders composite at T2 [linear term: AOR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.75–0.94; $\chi^2(1) = 8.86$, $p = 0.003$] and T3 [linear term: AOR = 0.85; 95% CI 0.77–0.94; $\chi^2(1) = 10.18$, $p = 0.001$].

The multivariable model at T2 revealed significant associations between neuroticism [AOR = 1.35; 95% CI 1.21–1.51; $\chi^2(1) = 28.11$, $p < 0.0005$] and past 30-day distress disorders at 3 months post-deployment. Thus, for each standard deviation increase in neuroticism there was a 35% increase in distress disorder risk 3 months after returning from deployment, adjusting for other variables. Low conscientiousness [AOR = 1.10; 95% CI 0.99–1.22; $\chi^2(1) = 3.08$, $p = 0.079$] and social networks [linear term; AOR = 0.90; 95% CI 0.80–1.02; $\chi^2(1) = 2.75$, $p = 0.097$] were no longer significant predictors. The interaction of personality and social networks was not significant at 3-months post-deployment for neuroticism [AOR = 0.97; 95% CI 0.88–1.07; $\chi^2(1) = 0.37$, $p = 0.54$] nor conscientiousness [AOR = 1.01; 95% CI 0.93–1.11; $\chi^2(1) = 0.06$, $p = 0.80$]. We therefore retained the main effects model for interpretation. Table 1 reports detailed results of the final prediction model for T2 outcomes.

Table 1. Final prediction model in the PPDS sample reporting associations of pre-deployment personality and social network variables with past-30-day distress disorders (a) or suicidal behavior (b) at 3-months post-deployment ($N = 4645$), adjusting for sociodemographic factors, Brigade Combat Team (not shown here), deployment stress scale, and pre-deployment lifetime history of distress disorders or suicidal behaviors

	3-months Post-deployment					
	30-day distress disorders <i>Main effects model</i>			30-day Suicidal behaviors <i>Main effects model</i>		
	AOR (95% CI)	χ^2	p	AOR (95% CI)	χ^2	p
Age (years)	1.01 (0.98–1.04)	0.46	0.41	0.98 (0.93–1.02)	1.27	0.26
Female sex (reference: male)	1.37 (0.88–2.15)	1.94	0.16	1.97 (1.09–3.57)	5.00	0.025
Race/ethnicity (reference: White, non-Hispanic)		8.37	0.039		0.54	0.91
Black, non-Hispanic	1.25 (0.90–1.74)			0.77 (0.36–1.67)		
Hispanic	1.26 (0.94–1.68)			1.01 (0.59–1.71)		
Other, non-Hispanic	1.51 (1.03–2.22)			0.90 (0.34–2.39)		
Education (reference: high school degree)		6.33	0.042		0.98	0.61
General equivalency diploma	1.27 (0.85–1.89)			0.74 (0.30–1.79)		
College degree	0.74 (0.56–0.97)			1.10 (0.74–1.63)		
Marital status (reference: married)		1.33	0.51		6.39	0.041
Never married	0.85 (0.63–1.14)			1.49 (0.99–2.26)		
Other	1.03 (0.71–1.49)			1.94 (1.07–3.52)		
Lifetime (pre-deployment) distress disorders or suicidal behaviors	4.04 (3.25–5.02)	157.55	<0.0005	7.26 (4.77–11.03)	86.01	<0.0005
Combat/deployment stress	1.99 (1.77–2.25)	130.03	<0.0005	1.41 (1.21–1.64)	19.16	<0.0005
Neuroticism	1.35 (1.21–1.51)	28.11	<0.0005	1.32 (1.12–1.56)	10.80	0.001
Low conscientiousness	1.10 (0.99–1.22)	3.08	0.079			
Social networks (linear term)	0.90 (0.80–1.02)	2.75	0.097	0.84 (0.69–1.02)	3.14	0.076

The multivariable model at T3 revealed significant associations between neuroticism [AOR = 1.15; 95% CI 1.02–1.31; $\chi^2(1) = 4.84$, $p = 0.028$], social networks [linear term; AOR = 0.88; 95% CI 0.80–0.98; $\chi^2(1) = 5.59$, $p = 0.018$], and past 30-day distress disorders at 9 months post-deployment. Thus, for every standard deviation increase in neuroticism there was a 15% increase in distress disorders risk, adjusting for other variables, whereas each standard deviation increase in social network size was associated with a 12% reduction in risk at 9-months following return from deployment. Low conscientiousness was no longer a significant predictor [AOR = 1.09; 95% CI 0.99–1.20; $\chi^2(1) = 3.13$, $p = 0.077$]. The interaction of personality and social networks was not significant at 9-months post-deployment for neuroticism [AOR = 1.07; 95% CI 0.98–1.17; $\chi^2(1) = 2.12$, $p = 0.15$] nor conscientiousness [AOR = 1.05; 95% CI 0.96–1.15; $\chi^2(1) = 1.05$, $p = 0.31$]. We therefore retained the main effects model for interpretation. Table 2 reports detailed results of the final prediction model for T3 outcomes.

Suicidal behaviors

The proportion of soldiers endorsing past 30-day suicidal behaviors was 2.9% at T2 and 5.7% at T3. In the personality trait model, only higher pre-deployment neuroticism predicted past 30-day suicidal behaviors at both 3-months (T2) and 9-months (T3) after returning from deployment [3-months, AOR = 1.31; 95% CI 1.07–1.62; $\chi^2(1) = 6.47$, $p = 0.011$; 9-months, AOR = 1.24;

95% CI 1.03–1.49; $\chi^2(1) = 5.14$, $p = 0.023$]. See online Supplemental Table S4b. Pre-deployment social network size significantly predicted past 30-day suicidal behaviors at T2 [linear term: AOR = 0.79; 95% CI 0.65–0.95; $\chi^2(1) = 6.17$, $p = 0.013$] and T3 [linear term: AOR = 0.75; 95% CI 0.65–0.86; $\chi^2(1) = 16.28$, $p < 0.0005$].

The multivariable model at T2 revealed that higher neuroticism [AOR = 1.32; 95% CI 1.12–1.56; $\chi^2(1) = 10.80$, $p = 0.001$] predicted increased odds of past 30-day suicidal behaviors at 3 months post-deployment – accounting for 32% increased risk for every standard deviation increase in neuroticism scores, adjusting for other variables in the model. Social networks were not significantly associated with suicidal behaviors at 3-months post-deployment [linear term; AOR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.69–1.02; $\chi^2(1) = 3.14$, $p = 0.076$]. The interaction of neuroticism by social networks was not significant at 3-months post-deployment [AOR = 1.05; 95% CI 0.93–1.17; $\chi^2(1) = 0.61$, $p = 0.44$]. We therefore retained the main effects model for interpretation. Table 1 reports detailed results of the final prediction model for T2 outcomes.

The multivariable model at T3 revealed a significant interaction effect between neuroticism and social networks [$\chi^2(4) = 4.58$, $p = 0.032$] on past 30-day suicidal behaviors at 9-months post-deployment. Visualization of the interaction revealed that neuroticism explained the increasing variance in suicidal behaviors at larger social networks. In contrast, the effect of

Table 2. Final prediction model in the PPDS sample reporting associations of pre-deployment personality and social network variables with past-30-day distress disorders (a) or suicidal behavior (b) at 9-months post-deployment ($N = 4645$), adjusting for sociodemographic factors, Brigade Combat Team (not shown here), deployment stress scale, and pre-deployment lifetime history of distress disorders or suicidal behaviors

	9-months Post-deployment					
	30-day distress disorders <i>Main effects model</i>			30-day Suicidal behaviors <i>Neuroticism by social networks model</i>		
	AOR (95% CI)	χ^2	p	AOR (95% CI)	χ^2	p
Age (years)	1.01 (0.99–1.02)	0.69	0.41	1.01 (0.99–1.04)	1.01	0.31
Female sex (reference: male)	1.74 (1.17–2.60)	7.48	0.006	1.90 (1.10–3.27)	5.35	0.021
Race/ethnicity (reference: White, non-Hispanic)		3.53	0.32		1.93	0.59
Black, non-Hispanic	1.20 (0.84–1.73)			1.18 (0.73–1.91)		
Hispanic	1.14 (0.91–1.43)			1.20 (0.82–1.74)		
Other, non-Hispanic	1.26 (0.86–1.85)			1.30 (0.76–2.22)		
Education (reference: high school degree)		28.59	<0.0005		7.10	0.029
General equivalency diploma	1.74 (1.19–2.53)			1.62 (1.08–2.42)		
College degree	0.66 (0.53–0.81)			0.94 (0.66–1.32)		
Marital status (reference: married)		2.53	0.28		4.55	0.10
Never married	0.86 (0.69–1.07)			1.21 (0.83–1.76)		
Other	1.09 (0.83–1.44)			1.55 (1.00–2.42)		
Lifetime (pre-deployment) distress disorders or suicidal behaviors	3.19 (2.51–4.06)	88.73	<0.0005	3.98 (2.90–5.46)	73.52	<0.0005
Combat/deployment stress	1.72 (1.58–1.87)	153.10	<0.0005	1.27 (1.11–1.45)	11.79	0.001
Neuroticism	1.15 (1.02–1.31)	4.84	0.028	1.31 (1.13–1.52)	12.91	<0.0005
Low conscientiousness	1.09 (0.99–1.20)	3.13	0.077			
Social networks (linear term)	0.88 (0.80–0.98)	5.59	0.018	0.76 (0.66–0.87)	14.52	<0.0005
Neuroticism \times Social networks (linear term)				1.12 (1.01–1.24)	4.58	0.032

	Pre/Post Deployment Study		New Soldier Study
Mental Health Outcome	3 months Post-deployment	9 months Post-deployment	5 years after enlistment
Distress Disorders (% risk)	Neuroticism: 35%↑	Neuroticism: 15%↑ Social networks: 12%↓	Social networks: 9%↓
Suicidal Behaviors (% risk)	Neuroticism: 32%↑	Neuroticism x SN: - N ↑s risk at larger SN - SN ↓s risk at low N	Low conscientiousness: 14%↑ Social networks: 16%↓

Fig. 1. Summary of findings from the main multivariable models. Shown are significant ($p < .05$) predictors from the final model assessed before deployment (PPDS) or during basic training (NSS). Percent risk refers to the proportion of mental health risk accounted for by every standard deviation change in the focal predictor. Results of supplementary models investigating non-linear effects of social network size are not shown. N = neuroticism. SN = social networks.

neuroticism on suicidal behaviors was not strong in soldiers with the smallest social networks (i.e. 2 standard deviations below the mean) who, as a group, displayed an increased risk of suicidal behavior (i.e. above the sample average). See online Supplemental Fig. S4. Table 2 reports detailed results of the final prediction model for T3 outcomes.

Supplementary analysis in NSS sample

Full results are presented in the online Supplemental Materials. Larger social networks reported during basic training predicted significantly reduced risk of distress disorders and suicidal behavior 5 years later – accounting for 9% and 16% reduction in risk, respectively, per standard deviation increase in social network size. Low conscientiousness was the only significant personality predictor of suicidal behavior, accounting for 14% increased risk per standard deviation increase, beyond social networks and other variables; it did not significantly interact with social network size. No personality traits significantly predicted distress disorders at 5-year follow-up. See Figure 1 for a summary of findings from the main multivariable models for the PPDS and NSS samples.

Exploratory non-linear social network models

Modeling social networks as a non-linear term resulted in an improved model fit for only the distress disorders outcome measured 3 months following deployment (T2). All other models favored the linear social network term (see online Supplemental Materials for full results). Multivariable models at T2 revealed significant interactions between the non-linear social network term and both neuroticism and low conscientiousness. Neuroticism was associated with an increased risk of distress disorders at all but the highest social network scores (online Supplemental Fig. S2), whereas low conscientiousness predicted greater odds of distress disorders for soldiers with average social networks only (online Supplemental Fig. S3).

Discussion

We examined prospective associations of personality traits and social network size with post-deployment distress disorders and suicidal behaviors in US Army soldiers. Pre-deployment neuroticism was consistently associated with increased post-deployment mental health risk, displaying associations with both distress disorders and suicidal behaviors at 3 and 9 months after return from deployment. Larger perceived social networks were associated with reduced risk of distress disorders and suicidal behaviors at 9 months post-deployment, accounting for unique variance beyond personality, socio-demographic and Army career characteristics (e.g. deployment history)[†]. The hypothesis that neuroticism would interact with social network size was partially supported such that the positive association between neuroticism and suicidal behaviors at 9-months post-deployment depended on the size of soldiers' social networks. A supplementary analysis of data from an independent cohort further revealed that perceived social network size at the outset of basic training was significantly associated with the risk of distress disorders and suicidal behaviors approximately five years later. Considered together, these findings suggest that social network size contributes uniquely to predicting the incidence of distress disorders and suicidal behaviors beyond soldier characteristics including personality and lifetime history of these problems. The identification of a factor that is generally protective against distress disorders and suicidal behaviors – beyond the effects of other known risk factors (e.g. high neuroticism) – may help identify new avenues for reducing suicide and related mental health problems in soldiers.

The current data extend prior cross-sectional findings in military personnel post-deployment (Caska & Renshaw, 2013; James et al., 2013), and are the first, to our knowledge, to demonstrate a prospective link between neuroticism and mental health outcomes in service members. Because stressor exposure is common throughout all phases of the military lifecycle, especially during

[†]The note appear after the main text.

deployment, the exaggerated cognitive, affective and behavioral reactivity to stressors associated with high neuroticism may increase susceptibility to psychopathology in this population. Although the current findings cannot speak to the mechanisms that accounted for the prospective relationship between neuroticism and adverse mental health outcomes, they can help identify vulnerable soldiers preparing for deployment who may benefit from neuroticism-targeted interventions (Barlow et al., 2014; Sauer-Zavala et al., 2021). The lack of unique association between neuroticism measured in new recruits and distress disorders or suicidal behavior measured 5 years later may reflect changes in personality occurring throughout young adulthood (Roberts, Walton, & Viechtbauer, 2006) and during a time of multiple life transitions (e.g. adulthood, military life). Variability in unmeasured stressor exposure across soldiers throughout the 5-year period may also account for the observed findings.

Prior research indicates reliable, albeit lower magnitude (cf. neuroticism) cross-sectional associations between conscientiousness and anxiety and depressive disorders (Kotov et al., 2010). In this study, the prospective effects of low conscientiousness were modest in size and not statistically significant in multivariable models, except within the supplementary model of suicidal behavior in the new recruit cohort. Low conscientiousness may confer increased vulnerability to suicidal behaviors through ineffective emotion regulation, coping, or problem-solving (Carver & Connor-Smith, 2010; Javaras et al., 2012). The lack of significant associations of agreeableness and openness to experience with emotional disorders in our samples is consistent with prior cross-sectional research (Kotov et al., 2010; Watson & Naragon-Gainey, 2014). Extraversion is most reliably associated with a social anxiety disorder (Watson & Naragon-Gainey, 2014), which was not assessed across STARRS surveys. Depression is only modestly associated with extraversion at the broad trait level (Kotov et al., 2010) and tends to correlate mainly with the low positive emotionality facet (Naragon-Gainey, Watson, & Markon, 2009); however, items comprising the extraversion scale in the current study primarily assessed the sociability facet. The small number of items assessing each personality dimension offered limited coverage of the broad trait domains; it also likely accounts for the low internal consistency of some scales. Research is therefore needed to determine whether greater precision and explanatory power can be achieved with more reliable measures or by examining lower-level facets of the personality hierarchy (see for example Naragon-Gainey et al., 2009).

The social environment is also linked to mental health functioning, both cross-sectionally and prospectively (Holma et al., 2010; Saris et al., 2017; Teo et al., 2013). Unit cohesion – a unique form of social network quality within the military – has been shown to protect against mental disorders and suicidal behavior (Anderson et al., 2019; Campbell-Sills et al., 2022). Here, we examined social networks more broadly – endeavoring to increase the generalizability of our findings beyond military samples. The current study demonstrated that service members reporting smaller social networks were at greater *future* risk for meeting criteria for a distress disorder or suicidal behavior following their return from deployment, or at a naturalistic follow-up assessment approximately 5 years following enlistment. Results largely supported a linear relationship between social network size and mental health outcomes; the only exception was soon after soldiers returned from deployment (discussed below). Our findings dovetail with recent observations that resilient mental health trajectories in the first several years of military service – i.e., those

characterized by stable, low psychological distress or post-traumatic stress symptoms – are predicted by increased social support from family, friends, and military peers and leaders (Dell et al., 2022). Identifying new recruits or soldiers preparing for deployment who report particularly impoverished social networks could allow for early intervention targeting mechanisms hypothesized to underpin social disconnection. For example, interventions that enhance social group membership (Haslam, Cruwys, Haslam, Dingle, & Chang, 2016), positive emotions and approach behaviors (Taylor, Pearlstein, Kakaria, Lyubomirsky, & Stein, 2020), and/or reduce maladaptive social cognition (e.g. cognitive behavioral approaches; Cacioppo *et al.* 2015a, 2015b) have been shown to improve social connectedness and may therefore be able to alter mental health trajectories of soldiers.

This study is the first, to our knowledge, to evaluate whether social network size influences the link between personality and psychopathology—finding modest support for the hypothesis that larger social networks would buffer the effects of neuroticism on mental health. A non-linear buffering effect was observed wherein the risk of distress disorders was *not* elevated for soldiers with high neuroticism who reported very large social networks (i.e. 2 s.d. above mean social network size). This buffering effect was observed 3 months after return from deployment – a challenging time of transition when the availability of many social contacts on which to rely for support may be particularly beneficial, especially for soldiers prone to elevated stressor reactivity. A different pattern of findings emerged for suicidal behavior 9-months post-deployment, wherein neuroticism was not related to the risk of suicidal behaviors in soldiers with the smallest social networks but predicted increasing risk as social network size increased. This may suggest that even individuals lower in neuroticism are at increased risk of suicidal behaviors if the social network is especially impoverished. An exploratory analysis also revealed that social network size moderated the relationship between conscientiousness and risk for distress disorders at 3 months post-deployment, suggesting perceived availability of social contacts may influence maladaptive processes associated with low conscientiousness (e.g. ineffective coping or emotion dysregulation; Carver & Connor-Smith, 2010; Javaras *et al.* 2012). Overall, our findings underscore the need to consider interactions between personality and social environment factors in predicting psychopathology – though the precise nature and meaning of those interactions remain to be determined.

Interpretation of the current findings should be considered alongside study limitations. Personality and social network size were assessed via self-report. Replication using informant reports and measures that assess other indicators of social functioning (e.g. objective measures of network size, interconnectedness, and frequency of social activities; Saris et al., 2017) would buttress the current findings. Although brief egocentric social network surveys like the one used in this study have practical advantages (e.g. efficient mass administration), they are limited in measuring the precise nature (e.g. family, friends) and function (e.g. engagement in leisure activities, availability of emotional support) of different relationships within one's network, as well as structural features of the network (e.g. cohesion). Thus, the ability to evaluate non-linear associations between network size and mental health outcomes may have been obscured by not capturing specific aspects of one's social connections and their functions (Falci & McNeely, 2009). Egocentric surveys also do not capture dependencies among individuals within one's network (e.g. Schaefer, Kornienko, and Fox, 2011), including mutual influences

of mental health across members of the network (Rosenquist, Fowler, & Christakis, 2011). Such dependencies may alter the strength or direction of associations between social networks and mental health, including possible positive associations among those with psychopathology (e.g. contagion effects), suggesting the current findings may underestimate the impact of social networks on mental health. Both studies relied on self-reported mental health outcomes; replication using clinician-administered diagnoses is needed. Although examining prospective associations between our hypothesized predictors and subsequent mental health outcomes when including stringent baseline controls is an advance over prior cross-sectional investigations, this was an observational study and therefore causality cannot be inferred. Social networks are dynamic throughout the life course (Small, Pamphile, & McMahan, 2015) and would be expected to change over the military lifecycle. Such changes may vary depending on the nature of the relationship (e.g. civilian *v.* military connections) and would attenuate the relationship between baseline social networks and future mental health outcomes, suggesting our results likely provide a conservative estimate of concurrent or more proximal associations between social networks and psychopathology. Future research should measure changes in social networks and personality occurring alongside changes in psychopathology so that prospective and concurrent associations can be modeled. Replication of findings in civilian samples who are exposed to high levels of stressors based on job-related (e.g. emergency service personnel) or other factors (e.g. poverty, discrimination) is also needed.

Conclusion

Elevated neuroticism and having few social connections uniquely predicted increased risk for distress disorders and suicidal behavior among U.S. Army soldiers returning from deployment. Small social networks also predicted these mental health outcomes 5 years following enlistment. These observations occurred when including stringent baseline controls, suggesting both factors are important to assess and potentially target in the prevention and early intervention programs in military settings. There may be times when social network size influences the effects of neuroticism on mental health, though further research is needed to confirm whether and under what conditions such effects occur. Extending the current findings to civilian populations at risk of high stressor exposure is now needed to further their public health reach.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291722002082>

Acknowledgments. The Army STARRS Team consists of Co-Principal Investigators: Robert J. Ursano, MD (Uniformed Services University) and Murray B. Stein, MD, MPH (University of California San Diego and VA San Diego Healthcare System)

Site Principal Investigators: James Wagner, PhD (University of Michigan) and Ronald C. Kessler, PhD (Harvard Medical School)

Army scientific consultant/liaison: Kenneth Cox, MD, MPH (Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs))

Other team members: Pablo A. Aliaga, MA (Uniformed Services University); David M. Benedek, MD (Uniformed Services University); Laura Campbell-Sills, PhD (University of California San Diego); Carol S. Fullerton, PhD (Uniformed Services University); Nancy Gebler, MA (University of Michigan); Meredith House, BA (University of Michigan); Paul E. Hurwitz, MPH (Uniformed Services University); Sonia Jain, PhD (University of

California San Diego); Tzu-Cheg Kao, PhD (Uniformed Services University); Lisa Lewandowski-Romps, PhD (University of Michigan); Alex Luedtke, PhD (University of Washington and Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center); Holly Herberman Mash, PhD (Uniformed Services University); James A. Naifeh, PhD (Uniformed Services University); Matthew K. Nock, PhD (Harvard University); Victor Puac-Polanco, MD, DrPH (Harvard Medical School); Nancy A. Sampson, BA (Harvard Medical School); and Alan M. Zaslavsky, PhD (Harvard Medical School).

Financial support. Army STARRS was sponsored by the Department of the Army and funded under cooperative agreement number U01MH087981 with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Mental Health (NIH/NIMH). Subsequently, STARRS-LS was sponsored and funded by the Department of Defense (USUHS grant numbers HU00011520004 and HU0001202003). The grants were administered by the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine Inc. (HJF). The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Department of Health and Human Services, NIMH, the Department of the Army, Department of Defense or HJF.

Conflict of interest. Dr Taylor declares that in the past 3 years he has been a paid consultant for Bionomics, and receives payment for editorial work for UpToDate and the journal *Depression and Anxiety* (Deputy Editor). In the past 3 years, Dr Kessler was a consultant for Datastat, Inc., Holmusk, RallyPoint Networks, Inc., and Sage Therapeutics. He has stock options in Mirah, PYM, and Roga Sciences. In the past 3 years Dr Stein received consulting income from Actelion, Acadia Pharmaceuticals, Aptinyx, atai Life Sciences, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bionomics, BioXcel Therapeutics, Clexio, EmpowerPharm, Engrail Therapeutics, GW Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and Roche/Genentech. Dr Stein has stock options in Oxeia Biopharmaceuticals and EpiVario. He is paid for his editorial work on *Depression and Anxiety* (Editor-in-Chief), *Biological Psychiatry* (Deputy Editor), and *UpToDate* (Co-Editor-in-Chief for Psychiatry). The remaining authors have no financial disclosures.

Ethical standards. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Note

1 Interpretation of socio-demographic and Army career variables in the prediction models is beyond the scope of this paper. Interested readers may consult the following paper for more details (Zuromski *et al.*, 2020).

References

- Anderson, L., Campbell-Sills, L., Ursano, R. J., Kessler, R. C., Sun, X., Heeringa, S. G., ... Stein, M. B. (2019). Prospective associations of perceived unit cohesion with postdeployment mental health outcomes. *Depression and Anxiety*, 36(6), 511–521.
- Barlow, D. H., Sauer-Zavala, S., Carl, J. R., Bullis, J. R., & Ellard, K. K. (2014). The nature, diagnosis, and treatment of neuroticism: Back to the future. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2(3), 344–365.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497–529.
- Berkman, L. F., Glass, T., Brissette, I., & Seeman, T. E. (2000). From social integration to health: Durkheim in the new millennium. *Social Science and Medicine*, 51(6), 843–857.
- Cacioppo, J. T., Adler, A. B., Lester, P. B., McGurk, D., Thomas, J. L., Chen, H. Y., & Cacioppo, S. (2015a). Building social resilience in soldiers: A double dissociative randomized controlled study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 109(1), 90–105.
- Cacioppo, J. T., & Cacioppo, S. (2018). The growing problem of loneliness. *Lancet (London, England)*, 391(10119), 426.

- Cacioppo, S., Grippo, A. J., London, S., Goossens, L., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2015b). Loneliness: Clinical import and interventions. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 238–249.
- Campbell-Sills, L., Flynn, P. J., Choi, K. W., Ng, T. H. H., Aliaga, P. A., Broshek, C., ... Bliese, P. D. (2022). Unit cohesion during deployment and post-deployment mental health: Is cohesion an individual- or unit-level buffer for combat-exposed soldiers? *Psychological Medicine*, 52(1), 121–131.
- Campbell-Sills, L., Ursano, R. J., Kessler, R. C., Sun, X., Heeringa, S. G., Nock, M. K., ... Stein, M. B. (2018). Prospective risk factors for post-deployment heavy drinking and alcohol or substance use disorder among US Army soldiers. *Psychological Medicine*, 48(10), 1624–1633.
- Carver, C. S., & Connor-Smith, J. (2010). Personality and coping. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61, 679–704.
- Caska, C. M., & Renshaw, K. D. (2013). Personality traits as moderators of the associations between deployment experiences and PTSD symptoms in OEF/OIF service members. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping*, 26(1), 36–51.
- De Jonge, P., Wardenaar, K. J., Lim, C., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Andrade, L. H., ... Scott, K. (2018). The cross-national structure of mental disorders: Results from the World Mental Health Surveys. *Psychological Medicine*, 48(12), 2073–2084.
- Dell, L., Casetta, C., Benassi, H., Cowlishaw, S., Agathos, J., O'Donnell, M., ... Forbes, D. (2022). Mental health across the early years in the military. *Psychological Medicine*, 1–9. doi:10.1017/S0033291722000332.
- Digman, J. M. (1997). Higher-order factors of the Big five. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 73, 1246–1256.
- Falci, C., & McNeely, C. (2009). Too many friends: Social integration, network cohesion and adolescent depressive symptoms. *Social Forces*, 87(4), 2031–2062.
- Fanous, A. H., Neale, M. C., Aggen, S. H., & Kendler, K. S. (2007). A longitudinal study of personality and major depression in a population-based sample of male twins. *Psychological Medicine*, 37(8), 1163–1172.
- Fergusson, D. M., Woodward, L. J., & Horwood, L. J. (2000). Risk factors and life processes associated with the onset of suicidal behaviour during adolescence and early adulthood. *Psychological Medicine*, 30(1), 23–39.
- Fox, E., & Beevers, C. G. (2016). Differential sensitivity to the environment: contribution of cognitive biases and genes to psychological wellbeing. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 21(12), 1657–1662.
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology - The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226.
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2003). The value of positive emotions - The emerging science of positive psychology in coming to understand why it's good to feel good. *American Scientist*, 91(4), 330–335.
- Haslam, C., Cruwys, T., Haslam, S. A., Dingle, G., & Chang, M. X. (2016). Groups 4 health: Evidence that a social-identity intervention that builds and strengthens social group membership improves mental health. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 194, 188–195.
- Heeringa, S. G., Gebler, N., Colpe, L. J., Fullerton, C. S., Hwang, I., Kessler, R. C., ... Ursano, R. J. (2013). Field procedures in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 22(4), 276–287.
- Heeringa, S. G., West, B. T., & Berglund, P. A. (2010). *Applied survey data analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall.
- Holma, K. M., Melartin, T. K., Haukka, J., Holma, I. A., Sokero, T. P., & Isometsa, E. T. (2010). Incidence and predictors of suicide attempts in DSM-IV major depressive disorder: A five-year prospective study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 167(7), 801–808.
- Hostinar, C. E., Sullivan, R. M., & Gunnar, M. R. (2014). Psychobiological mechanisms underlying the social buffering of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis: A review of animal models and human studies across development. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140, 256–282.
- James, L. M., Van Kampen, E., Miller, R. D., & Engdahl, B. E. (2013). Risk and protective factors associated with symptoms of post-traumatic stress, depression, and alcohol misuse in OEF/OIF veterans. *Military Medicine*, 178(2), 159–165.
- Javaras, K. N., Schaefer, S. M., van Reekum, C. M., Lapate, R. C., Greischar, L. L., Bachhuber, D. R., ... Davidson, R. J. (2012). Conscientiousness predicts greater recovery from negative emotion. *Emotion (Washington, D.C.)*, 12(5), 875–881.
- Jerominus, B. F., Kotov, R., Riese, H., & Ormel, J. (2016). Neuroticism's prospective association with mental disorders halves after adjustment for baseline symptoms and psychiatric history, but the adjusted association hardly decays with time: A meta-analysis on 59 longitudinal/prospective studies with 443 313 participants. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14), 2883–2906.
- Kendler, K. S., Gatz, M., Gardner, C. O., & Pedersen, N. L. (2006). Personality and major depression: A Swedish longitudinal, population-based twin study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 63(10), 1113–1120.
- Kendler, K. S., Kuhn, J., & Prescott, C. A. (2004). The interrelationship of neuroticism, sex, and stressful life events in the prediction of episodes of major depression. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 161(4), 631–636.
- Kessler, R. C., Colpe, L. J., Fullerton, C. S., Gebler, N., Naifeh, J. A., Nock, M. K., ... Heeringa, S. G. (2013a). Design of the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 22(4), 267–275.
- Kessler, R. C., Heeringa, S. G., Stein, M. B., Colpe, L. J., Fullerton, C. S., Hwang, I., ... Ursano, R. J. (2014). Thirty-day prevalence of DSM-IV mental disorders among nondeployed soldiers in the US Army: Results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(5), 504–513.
- Kotov, R., Gamez, W., Schmidt, F., & Watson, D. (2010). Linking “big” personality traits to anxiety, depressive, and substance use disorders: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 136(5), 768–821.
- Markon, K. E., Krueger, R. F., & Watson, D. (2005). Delineating the structure of normal and abnormal personality: An integrative hierarchical approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88, 139–157.
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, Jr. P. T. (2003). *Personality in adulthood: A five-factor theory perspective* (2nd. ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Mitchell, M. M., Galloway, M. S., Millikan, A. M., & Bell, M. (2012). Interaction of combat exposure and unit cohesion in predicting suicide-related ideation among post-deployment soldiers. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 42(5), 486–494.
- Naragon-Gainey, K., Watson, D., & Markon, K. E. (2009). Differential relations of depression and social anxiety symptoms to the facets of extraversion/positive emotionality. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 118, 299–310.
- Pietrzak, R. H., Johnson, D. C., Goldstein, M. B., Malley, J. C., Rivers, A. J., Morgan, C. A., & Southwick, S. M. (2009). Psychosocial buffers of traumatic stress, depressive symptoms, and psychosocial difficulties in veterans of Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom: The role of resilience, unit support, and postdeployment social support. *Journal of Special Operations Medicine*, 9(3), 74–78.
- R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Roberts, B. W., Walton, K. E., & Viechtbauer, W. (2006). Patterns of mean-level change in personality traits across the life course: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132(1), 1–25.
- Rosellini, A. J., Stein, M. B., Benedek, D. M., Bliese, P. D., Chiu, W. T., Hwang, I., ... Collaborators, A. S. (2017). Using self-report surveys at the beginning of service to develop multi-outcome risk models for new soldiers in the US Army. *Psychological Medicine*, 47(13), 2275–2287.
- Rosenquist, J., Fowler, J., & Christakis, N. (2011). Social network determinants of depression. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 16, 273–281.
- Saris, I. M. J., Aghajani, M., van der Werff, S. J. A., van der Wee, N. J. A., & Penninx, B. (2017). Social functioning in patients with depressive and anxiety disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 136(4), 352–361.
- Sauer-Zavala, S., Fournier, J. C., Steele, S. J., Woods, B. K., Wang, M., Farchione, T. J., & Barlow, D. H. (2021). Does the unified protocol really change neuroticism? Results from a randomized trial. *Psychological Medicine*, 51(14), 2378–2387.
- Schaefer, D. R., Kornienko, O., & Fox, A. M. (2011). Misery does not love company: Network selection mechanisms and depression homophily. *American Sociological Review*, 76(5), 764–785.
- Small, M. L., Pamphile, V. D., & McMahan, P. (2015). How stable is the core discussion network? *Social Networks*, 40, 90–102.

- Smith, D. J., Escott-Price, V., Davies, G., Bailey, M. E., Colodro-Conde, L., Ward, J., ... O'Donovan, M. C. (2016). Genome-wide analysis of over 106 000 individuals identifies 9 neuroticism-associated loci. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 21(11), 1644.
- Taylor, C. T., Pearlstein, S. L., Kakaria, S., Lyubomirsky, S., & Stein, M. B. (2020). Enhancing social connectedness in anxiety and depression through amplification of positivity: Preliminary treatment outcomes and process of change. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 44(4), 788–800.
- Teo, A. R., Choi, H., & Valenstein, M. (2013). Social relationships and depression: Ten-year follow-up from a nationally representative study. *PLoS One*, 8(4), e62396.
- Umberson, D., & Montez, J. K. (2010). Social relationships and health: A flashpoint for health policy. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 51(Suppl), S54–S66.
- Ursano, R. J., Colpe, L. J., Heeringa, S. G., Kessler, R. C., Schoenbaum, M., Stein, M. B., & Army, S. C. (2014). The Army study to assess risk and resilience in servicemembers (Army STARRS). *Psychiatry-Interpersonal and Biological Processes*, 77(2), 107–119.
- Ursano, R. J., Kessler, R. C., Stein, M. B., Naifeh, J. A., Aliaga, P. A., Fullerton, C. S., ... Heeringa, S. G. (2015). Suicide attempts in the US Army during the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq, 2004 to 2009. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 72(9), 917–926.
- Watson, D., & Naragon-Gainey, K. (2014). Personality, emotions, and the emotional disorders. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 2(4), 422–442.
- Welsh, J. A., Olson, J., Perkins, D. F., Travis, W. J., & Ormsby, L. (2015). The role of natural support systems in the post-deployment adjustment of active duty military personnel. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 56(1–2), 69–78.
- Wray, N. R., Birley, A. J., Sullivan, P. F., Visscher, P. M., & Martin, N. G. (2007). Genetic and phenotypic stability of measures of neuroticism over 22 years. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 10(5), 695–702.
- Wright, A. G. C., Creswell, K. G., Flory, J. D., Muldoon, M. F., & Manuck, S. B. (2019). Neurobiological functioning and the personality-trait hierarchy: Central serotonergic responsivity and the stability metatrait. *Psychological Science*, 30(10), 1413–1423.
- Yen, S., Shea, M. T., Sanislow, C. A., Skodol, A. E., Grilo, C. M., Edelen, M. O., ... Gunderson, J. G. (2009). Personality traits as prospective predictors of suicide attempts. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 120(3), 222–229.
- Zinbarg, R. E., Mineka, S., Bobova, L., Craske, M. G., Vrshek-Schallhorn, S., Griffith, J. W., ... Anand, D. (2016). Testing a hierarchical model of neuroticism and its cognitive facets: Latent structure and prospective prediction of first onsets of anxiety and unipolar mood disorders during 3 years in late adolescence. *Clinical Psychological Science*, 4(5), 805–824.
- Zuromski, K. L., Bernecker, S. L., Chu, C., Wilks, C. R., Gutierrez, P. M., Joiner, T. E., ... Kessler, R. C. (2020). Pre-deployment predictors of suicide attempt during and after combat deployment: Results from the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 121, 214–221.